

Standardization and The Parametric G-formula

DongYoon Yang

Seoul National University

August 9, 2021

Table of Contents

- ① Introduction
- ② Standarization for estimating causal effect
- ③ Estimating mean outcome
- ④ IP weighting V.S Standarization

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Standarization for estimating causal effect
- 3 Estimating mean outcome
- 4 IP weighting V.S Standarization

- Method for estimating the average causal effect
 - ① IP weighting : chapter 12
 - ② Standarization : This chapter

Table of Contents

- ① Introduction
- ② Standarization for estimating causal effect
- ③ Estimating mean outcome
- ④ IP weighting V.S Standarization

Standardization for estimating causal effect

- $Y = 1$ (die), $Y = 0$ (survive)
- $A = 1$ (treatment), $A = 0$ (no treatment)
- Under conditional exchangeability, positivity and consistency, marginal counterfactual risk is

$$\mathbb{E}[Y^a] = \sum_l \mathbb{E}[Y|A = a, L = l]P(L = l) \quad (1)$$

- Estimate $\mathbb{E}[Y|A = a, L = l]$ and $P(L = l)$.

Table of Contents

- ① Introduction
- ② Standarization for estimating causal effect
- ③ Estimating mean outcome
- ④ IP weighting V.S Standarization

Estimating mean outcome

- Ideally, we would estimate $\mathbb{E}[Y|A = a, L = l]$ by stratification.
- Consider confounder L is high-dimensional case.
- In this case, we could use parametric model.(e.g. linear regression)
- The next step is standardizing these means to the distribution of the confounders L for all values l .

$$\mathbb{E}[Y^a] = \sum_l \mathbb{E}[Y|A = a, L = l]P(L = l) = \mathbb{E}_L \mathbb{E}[Y|A = a, L]$$

Table 2.2

	L	A	Y
Rhea	0	0	0
Kronos	0	0	1
Demeter	0	0	0
Hades	0	0	0
Hestia	0	1	0
Poseidon	0	1	0
Hera	0	1	0
Zeus	0	1	1
Artemis	1	0	1
Apollo	1	0	1
Leto	1	0	0
Ares	1	1	1
Athena	1	1	1
Hephaestus	1	1	1
Aphrodite	1	1	1
Cyclope	1	1	1
Persephone	1	1	1
Hermes	1	1	0
Hebe	1	1	0
Dionysus	1	1	0

(a) Original

Second block: All untreated

	L	A	Y
Rhea	0	0	.
Kronos	0	0	.
Demeter	0	0	.
Hades	0	0	.
Hestia	0	0	.
Poseidon	0	0	.
Hera	0	0	.
Zeus	0	0	.
Artemis	1	0	.
Apollo	1	0	.
Leto	1	0	.
Ares	1	0	.
Athena	1	0	.
Hephaestus	1	0	.
Aphrodite	1	0	.
Cyclope	1	0	.
Persephone	1	0	.
Hermes	1	0	.
Hebe	1	0	.
Dionysus	1	0	.

(b) Untreated

Third block: All treated

	L	A	Y
Rhea	0	1	.
Kronos	0	1	.
Demeter	0	1	.
Hades	0	1	.
Hestia	0	1	.
Poseidon	0	1	.
Hera	0	1	.
Zeus	0	1	.
Artemis	1	1	.
Apollo	1	1	.
Leto	1	1	.
Ares	1	1	.
Athena	1	1	.
Hephaestus	1	1	.
Aphrodite	1	1	.
Cyclope	1	1	.
Persephone	1	1	.
Hermes	1	1	.
Hebe	1	1	.
Dionysus	1	1	.

(c) Treated

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[Y^a] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[Y|A = a, L_i] \quad (2)$$

- Impute Y treated(A=1) and Y untreated(A=0) by original dataset.
- Estimate $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[Y^{a=1}] - \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[Y^{a=0}]$

Table of Contents

- ① Introduction
- ② Standarization for estimating causal effect
- ③ Estimating mean outcome
- ④ IP weighting V.S Standarization

IP weighting V.S Standardization

- Modeling the treatment probability $P(A = a|L)$: IP weighting
- Modeling the outcome $\mathbb{E}[Y|A = a, L = l]$: Standardization
- Model misspecification will introduce some bias.

Definition

A doubly robust estimator in causal inference is a consistent estimator that at least one of the two models (treatment, outcome) is correct.

- To obtain a doubly robust estimate of the average causal effect,
 - 1 Estimate IP weight $W^A = P(A|L)$
 - 2 $\mathbb{E}[Y|A = a, L = l, R]$ where $R = W^A$ if $A = 1$, $R = -W^A$ if $A = 0$.
 - 3 Standardize mean outcome.

- IP weighting and standarization are estimators of the g-formula, a general method for causal inference.
- We say that standarization is a plug-in g-formula estimator.